LS-DYNA - from explicit to implicit simulation models

Anders Jonsson

anders.jonsson@dynamore.se

LS-DYNA - from explicit to implicit simulation models



FROM EXPLICIT ...

TO IMPLICIT

LS-DYNA - from explicit to implicit simulation models

- Acknowledgement
- Background
- Workflow
  - Model build-up for implicit and explicit
  - Implicit set-up
- Conversion
  - From explicit to implicit
  - Modelling aspects
  - Conversion example
- Examples
- Summary

Acknowledgement

- This presentation contains examples based on the public FE-model:
- 2010-toyota-yaris-detailed-v2j.zip
- from The Center for Collision Safety and Analysis (CCSA) at the George Mason University (GMU) developed under a contract with the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA)
- The work of the CCSA at GMU is gratefully acknowledged.

- The public FE-model
- Oblique THOR Accord Model
- was also used. It is developed by EDAG, Inc. under sponsorship from NHTSA

LS-DYNA Implicit

BACKGROUND

LS-DYNA is a versatile multi-physics solver package



LS-DYNA is a versatile multi-physics solver package



LS-DYNA Implicit

WORKFLOW

LS-DYNA implicit – Opening for new possibilities

- Traditional workflow for multi-disciplinary analyses



LS-DYNA implicit – Opening for new possibilities

- LS-DYNA One-code philosophy



LS-DYNA implicit – Opening for new possibilities

- LS-DYNA One-code philosophy



Using LS-DYNA for different disciplines makes it easier for simulation engineers from different groups to share information and experiences

LS-DYNA implicit – Opening for new possibilities

- Multi-disciplinary optimization of a hood

LS-OPT → ANSA PRE PROCESSOR

LS-OPT → LS-DYNA®



Eigenvalues:
Implicit



Workflow

- Modelling for explicit <u>and</u> implicit analyses

CAD

ANSA
PRE PROCESSOR

Automated meshing, assembly



Sub-system verification

Full-car model



Crash load cases



Workflow

- Modelling for explicit and implicit analyses

CAD ⇨ ANSA PRE PROCESSOR ⇨

Automated meshing, assembly



Sub-system verification

⇩

Assembly model



⇦

Durability load cases

Workflow and model organization

- Using an include file structure



Rigid barrier crash



Workflow and model organization

- Using an include file structure



Torsional stiffness analysis

LS-DYNA Implicit

IMPLICIT SET-UP

Implicit set-up in LS-DYNA

- Objective: to set-up a non-linear implicit analysis with minimal effort
- LS-DYNA is a versatile multi-physics solver. Many different analysis types are possible.



Basic implicit set-up in LS-DYNA

1 Background
2 Overview
3 LS-DYNA database cards for different analysis types
4 Set-up of some common implicit analysis types
5 Element types
6 Contacts for implicit analyses
7 Material models
8 Loads and boundary conditions
9 Other implicit analysis types
10 Modifications of control card settings
11 References
12 Revision record
13 Appendix A: Rubber modeling for implicit analysis
14 Appendix B: Restart of analyses
15 Appendix C: Troubleshooting convergence problems
16 Appendix D: Converting an implicit model to explicit
17 Appendix E: Converting an explicit model to implicit
18 Appendix F: Implicit / explicit switching
19 Appendix G: Some comments on control card settings

DYNA MORE NORDIC

Guideline for implicit analyses using LS-DYNA



2020-09-10 LS-DYNA / LS-PrePost

- ImplicitPackage_200910.zip
  - Package_200910
    - Examples
    - Frequency_domain
    - Guideline
    - INCLUDE_FILES
    - RESTART

Implicit set-up in LS-DYNA – Control cards

- Identify analysis type and select appropriate control card include file.
  - In many cases, `*CONTROL_TERMINATION` is the only required additional control card.
- Use an include file structure! Then the control card include files of the Guideline may be used directly.



Different ways into the world of LS-DYNA implicit



Different ways into the world of LS-DYNA implicit

- The Solution Explorer in LS-PrePost



ANSA Implicit toolbar

- Help with model check and set-up



Basic implicit set-up in LS-DYNA

- The Guideline for implicit analyses is available for download for Dynamore customers, from files.dynamore.se > Client Area

- The **Appendix P** of the LS-DYNA keyword manual (R9.0 and later) also provides recommendations, background and motivation to implicit control card settings.

- A very educational Webinar from Christoph Schmied, DYNAmore Germany:

  YouTube https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7SL321fO7_4&t=781s

- Dynamore / Ansys LST also gives courses in implicit analyses:
  - Implicit analysis using LS-DYNA (DYNAmore Germany) 11 mar
  - Non-linear implicit analysis in LS-DYNA (T. Borrvall) 23 mar
  - From explicit to implicit (A. Jonsson) 23 nov

- see also: https://www.dynamore.se/en/training/seminars and https://www.dynamore.de/en/training/seminars

Conversion: Implicit modelling aspects

- Use the Mortar contacts
  - *CONTACT_AUTOMATIC_SINGLE_SURFACE_MORTAR_ID
  - *CONTACT_AUTOMATIC_SURFACE_TO_SURFACE_MORTAR_ID
- The same contacts modelling approach as in explicit can be applied also for implicit
  - One global single surface contact
  - One global tied contact
- Model connectivity is crucial
  - Unconnected sub-assemblies may cause non-convergence in statics
  - Loose, spinning sub-assemblies may cause slow convergence in dynamics
- Fully integrated elements
- Materials
  - Hardening curves
  - Damage / failure is available also in implicit
  - User defined material models require also appropriate tangential stiffness



Mortar contact

- *CONTACT_AUTOMATIC_.._SURFACE_MORTAR
  - Segment-based penetration check
  - Based on consistent FE-theory
  - Focused on accuracy for implicit



- Captured contact situations
  - Segments not allowed to penetrate segments
    - Shell edge to segment of shell and solid
    - Solid edge to segment of shell and solid
  - Beam to beam
  - Beam to shell edge (NO segment extension!)
  - Beam to segment of shell and solid
  - Element erosion
- Missed contact situations
  - None*



Mortar contact

- *CONTACT_AUTOMATIC_.._SURFACE_MORTAR
  - Segment-based penetration check
  - Based on consistent FE-theory
  - Focused on accuracy for implicit
- Captures all* contact situations
- In explicit models, *CONTACT_AUTOMATIC_GENERAL_ID is often applied for modelling beam-to-shell-edge or beam-to-beam or edge-to-edge situations
- In implicit, this should be replaced by *CONTACT_AUTOMATIC_SINGLE_SURFACE_MORTAR_ID



Conversion: Implicit modelling aspects

- Unconnected parts or assemblies will cause rigid body modes, which may prevent convergence in implicit statics
- Check model connectivity!
  - Perform an eigenvalue analysis. Just add `*CONTROL_IMPLICIT_EIGENVALUE`
  - Use Check > Connectivity > Detect unconnected assemblies in ANSA
  - Check tied contacts. Setting `IPBACK` = 1 on `*CONTACT_TIED_...` may be a quick fix for avoiding for example loose spot-welds
- Connectivity causing hinges or mechanisms
  - For example beam -> solid using common nodes
  - A CNRB connecting to one node of a solid will also cause a spherical joint
  - Joints
  - From R11, joint stiffness can be applied globally on `*CONTROL_RIGID`
- General model QA
  - Check mesh quality, initial penetrations, duplicate elements, negative volume etc.
  - Similar to any LS-DYNA model

Conversion: Example – The Yaris model from CCSA

- Start out with a model for explicit crash analysis
- Create a model that works in implicit for many different load cases



Specific modifications: Yaris

- Removed "dummies" from crash model
- The tire airbags were a separated and switched to `*AIRBAG_LOAD_CURVE`
- For the door-related load cases, the door hinges were aligned and some CNRBs between the BiW and the door were removed
- The single surface contact was switched to Mortar contact (Note! Still **one** automatic single surface contact definition for the whole model)
  - Removed null-shells from solids
- Added *IPBACK* to the tied contact for spot welds
- The suggested control card settings for non-linear implicit analyses from the Guideline were used as a basis
  - *DNORM* = 1 on `*CONTROL_IMPLICIT_SOLUTION` was used in many load cases
- The geometrical stiffness effect was disabled (*IGS* = 2 on `*CONTROL_IMPLICIT_GENERAL`)
- Rate effects were disabled (*IRATE* = 2 `*CONTROL_IMPLICIT_DYNAMICS`)
- Switched to shell elform 16 using `*CONTROL_IMPLICIT_EIGENVALUE`
  - From R11, shell elform 2 are automatically switched to elform 16 due to *IACC* = 1

LS-DYNA implicit – Modifications

- The Yaris is modeled in the gravity loaded position. Pre-loading of the suspension must be applied in some way. This was changed from the original model to `*ELEMENT_DISCRETE_LCO`.
- Spherical joints can be a potential problem, since rigid body modes may be introduced (spinning parts)
  - added some CNRBs to steering links and
  - SPCs to constrain rotation of the anti-roll bar links in the front suspension





LS-DYNA implicit – Modifications

- Added three extra springs to attach the exhaust system to reduce deformation due to gravity loading

Gravity loading of exhaust system only

With 3 extra attachment springs:
Peak deflection ~ 2.6 mm.



Original: Peak deflection ~ 29 mm.

LS-DYNA implicit – Modifications

- Added some CNRBs to cooler tube and windows (the rubber seals are missing)





```
*** Warning 60301 (IMP+301)
    Using *CONSTRAINED_SPOTWELD with nodes without rotational dofs.
```

LS-DYNA implicit – Modifications

- Added some CNRBs to cooler tube and windows (the rubber seals are missing)





Examples of some load cases solved in implicit

- Full car model
  - Tow loading
  - Door sag
  - Fender loading
- Partial models
  - Seating
  - IP as footrest
  - BSR analysis of IP

Tow loading



Door sag





Fender loading

Seating

IP as footrest



BSR Analysis

Tow loading

- The chassis was constrained at the wheel hubs. The BiW was constrained at the lifting positions / longitudinals
- 20 kN is applied to the towing eyelet attachment
- The Yaris model is quite simplified
  - Towing eyelet not available
  - Probably more detailed (spot) weld modelling required in the area of interest





Tow loading

Solution time: 2h 49min on 12 cores



Door sag loading

Solution time: 8h 48min on 16 cores





Hood / fender loading



Hood / fender loading

Solution time: 7h 38 min on 24 cores





Hood / fender loading



Seating

- The driver seat was isolated from the Yaris model
- The pelvis of a Hybrid III (the free Fast version from ANSYS/LST) was pushed into the seat by a force of 765 N



Seating

- The driver seat was isolated from the Yaris model
- The pelvis of a Hybrid III (the free Fast version from ANSYS/LST) was pushed into the seat by a force of 765 N
- The contact pressure is obtained as an indication of seating comfort



Seating

- An eigenvalue analysis of the loaded seat was performed, followed by a steady state dynamic analysis (`*FREQUENCY_DOMAIN_SSD`) to obtain the transfer function between the attachment points and the seat cushion



IP as footrest

- The Instrument Panel was isolated from the Yaris model
- The feet from a Hybrid III (the free Fast version from ANSYS/LST) was pushed into the IP by a total force of 1 kN



IP as footrest

- The Instrument Panel was isolated from the Yaris model
- The feet from a Hybrid III (the free Fast version from ANSYS/LST) was pushed into the IP by a total force of 1 kN





Accumulated effective plastic strain

BSR Analysis of IP

- The Instrument Panel was isolated from the Yaris model
- A Steady State Dynamics analysis was performed using frequency dependent modal damping and an assumed acceleration load



Frequency dependent modal damping



Base acceleration loading (g) vs. frequency (Hz)

BSR Analysis of IP

- Based on the SSD results (d3ssd) a Buzz, Squeak and Rattle (BSR) analysis was performed using the BSR Tool in LS-PrePost 4.7





Rattle 95 Hz

Rattle 72 Hz

Summary

- FE-models for explicit analyses can in many cases be used also for implicit with minimal modifications
  - Special requirements, for example for local mesh refinement for detailed stress analysis, could also be incorporated in the model generation process
  - Extended subsystem verification for implicit could also increase model quality for explicit analyses
- The one-code strategy of LS-DYNA is well suited for multi-disciplinary optimization
- Using LS-DYNA for different disciplines makes it easier for simulation engineers from different groups to share information and experiences
- The Guideline for implicit analyses in LS-DYNA can serve as a starting point
  - Build experience for your specific needs by consistent use of settings, element types etc.
- Examples of full-car models and sub-system models were presented
- Further reading:
  - Roof-Crush Analysis of the Volvo XC40 using the Implicit Solver in LS-DYNA
  - Re-Using Crash Models for Static Load Cases with Minimal Effort

Thank you!

Your LS-DYNA distributor and more